

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/674,447	12/26/2000	Thomas Kotlarski	1354	9034	
759	90 10/23/2003	•	EXAMINER		
Striker Striker & Stenby			GRAHAM, GARY K		
103 East Neck Road Huntington, NY 11743			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
8 ,			1744		
			DATE MAILED: 10/23/2003	9	

-Please-find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary		09/674,447	KOTLARSKI ET AL.	•				
		Examiner	Art Unit					
		Gary K Graham	1744					
	Th MAILING DATE of this communication app	I =	with the correspondenc addre	ss				
Period fo								
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NC - Failu - Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLIMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. In period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a replication period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period reto reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may by within the statutory minimum of the will apply and will expire SIX (6) Most cause the application to become	a reply be timely filed nirty (30) days will be considered timely. DNTHS from the mailing date of this comm ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nunication.				
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11,	August 2003 .						
2a)□	•	nis action is non-final.		•				
3)□								
	ion of Claims		·					
4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-14</u> is/are pending in the application							
[]	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	wn from consideration.						
· · · · ·	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
•	Claim(s) <u>1-14</u> is/are rejected.							
_								
8)Ll Applicat	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/c ion Papers	or election requirement.						
	The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.						
•	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ acce	·	the Examiner.					
,,	Applicant may not request that any objection to the							
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.								
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.								
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.								
Priority (ınder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		•	•				
13)⊠	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	n priority under 35 U.S.C	C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a)	☑ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:							
	1. Certified copies of the priority document	ts have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority document	ts have been received in	Application No					
* (3. Copies of the certified copies of the pric application from the International Bu See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	age				
14) 🗌 A	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest	tic priority under 35 U.S.	C. § 119(e) (to a provisional ap	oplication).				
a 15)□ .	a) \square The translation of the foreign language procedured \square	ovisional application has tic priority under 35 U.S.	been received. C. §§ 120 and/or 121.					
Attachmen								
2) Notic	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice	w Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). of Informal Patent Application (PTO-1					

Application/Control Number: 09/674,447

Art Unit: 1744

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 1-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. The specification does not clearly set forth how tension is produced in the longitudinal web to hold the wiper strip. While it appears that the width of the rails plus the width of the web being greater than the width of the inner edges of the first L-leg would provide for compression of the web or possibly tension in the holder, it is unclear how this would develop tension in the web of the wiper strip. Clarification is requested.

Application/Control Number: 09/674,447

Årt Unit: 1744

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 10 April 2003 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant's introduction of the web being in tension does not appear to be taught by the art of record. However, as set forth above, it does not appear applicant's web is actually in tension. Since "tension" language was present in claim 14, this action will not be made final. Note that patents do teach compression of the web (for example, Oishei '123). Turning to applicant's other arguments that his holder is not a carrying bracket frame but a hinge-free wiper blade, nothing in the claims appear to limit the holder as such. Applicant could set forth or add that the holder is a ---one piece--- holder which is provided with means for connection of a wiper arm and arranged at an upper strip surface of the carrying rails. Applicant also argues that the rails must be introduced into their longitudinal grooves of the wiper strip in a lateral direction. However, there is no language in the claims limiting the rails to such a manner of insertion in the grooves.

Application/Control Number: 09/674,447

Årt Unit: 1744

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gary K Graham whose telephone number is 703-308-1270. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday to Friday (6:30-4:00).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert Warden can be reached on 703-308-2920. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0661.

Gary K Graham Primary Examiner Art Unit 1744